

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/675,860	09/29/2000	MARTIN M. BARRERA	NOVE10001000	9366	
22891 7590 03/08/2007 LAW OFFICE OF DELIO & PETERSON, LLC. 121 WHITNEY AVENUE 3RD FLLOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			EXAMINER		
			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752		

SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
2 MONTUS		02/08/2007	, DAD	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		\searrow			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	09/675,860	BARRERA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher S. Kim	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	ecember 2006.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-10,12-17,19-21 and 26-31 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-10,12-17,19-21 and 26-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement	•			
or claim(s) are subject to restriction and or	cicolon requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		, •			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The response filed on December 19, 2006 is acknowledged.
- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can 2. be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply 3. with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 31 recites, "...while not requiring the use of capillary tubes to create a pressure differential..." The disclosure, as originally filed, does not appear to disclose the negative limitation.

Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite 4. for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 31 recites, "...while not requiring the use of capillary tubes to create a pressure differential..." It is uncertain whether the recitation (1) requires the apparatus itself to create a pressure differential without using capillary tube, (2) the apparatus

Art Unit: 3752

does not require a capillary tubes (tubes which create a pressure differential), or (3) the apparatus can have capillary tubes as long as they do not create a pressure differential. If the apparatus is required to create a pressure differential, the metes and bounds of the negative limitation cannot be determined. It is uncertain what physical elements are being claimed to create the pressure differential. Additionally, the "pressure differential" appears to be a double inclusion of the "first pressure," "second pressure," "exit pressure," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 12-17, 19-21, 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gwyn (4,397,422) in view of Holt (5501,397).

Gwyn discloses an apparatus comprising: an inlet 17; a throat region 19; a first aperture 20 (aperture 20 for the white colorant); a second aperture 20 (aperture 20 for the green colorant); a third aperture 20 (for the red colorant); an exit nozzle 15.

Gwyn does not disclose a chemical vapor deposition chamber where the apparatus is in fluid communication with the chamber.

Holt discloses chamber (spray booth No. 1, No. 2 and No. 3 in figures 1 and 5) having a spray gun 88 attached to the spray booth at ceiling 94 and in communication with the chamber. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided a chamber to the device of Gwyn as taught by Holt to reduce dust contamination (Farnan, 5,456,023, column 3, lines 25-35).

Page 4

The paint sprayed, as in the spray booth of Holt, is a chemical in vapor fluid form and is deposited on the painted surface. Therefore, the chamber literally meets the definition of "chemical vapor deposition chamber."

In claim 13, the exit nozzle is considered to be the portion of throat region 19 downstream of aperture 20.

Claims 1,13 and 28 recite "adapted to" which merely requires the ability to so perform.

Claims 1, 13 and 28 recite "configured to" which merely requires the ability to so perform.

Claims 1, 13 and 28 recite "for" which merely recites the manner is which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed and does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations.

Claim 5 further defines the first and second chemical vapor deposition dopants comprising TEOS. In claim 1, the first and second chemical vapor deposition dopants are not positively recited. The dopants are merely recited as intended use of the first and second aperture of the throat region of the apparatus being claimed.

With respect to claims 2 and 14, Gwyn in view of Holt discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the angle being forty to sixty degrees. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided an angle of forty to sixty degrees for optimization dependent of application criteria, since it has been held that where the general

Application/Control Number: 09/675,860 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

With respect to claim 12, Gwyn in view of Holt discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the angle being twenty to forty degrees. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided an angle of twenty to forty degrees for optimization dependent of application criteria, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed December 19, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argument regarding non-analogous art has been addressed in the prior Office action. Additionally, applicant argues the specification rather than the claims.

Regarding applicant's argument directed to the recitation of a semiconductor substrate, the recitation "for processing a semiconductor substrate" recites mere intended use. A recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/675,860 Page 6

Art Unit: 3752

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/675,860

Art Unit: 3752

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1990.

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

CK